

**Midterm 3 –60 Points**

You must answer all questions. Please write your name on every page. The exam is closed book and closed notes. You may use calculators, but they must not be graphing calculators. No cell phones. Do not use your own scratch paper.

**You must show your work to receive full credit**

*I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid on this examination, nor have I concealed any similar misconduct by others.*

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Suppose that you wish to predict light truck prices as a function of a few basic characteristics:

$$\log(\text{price}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\text{weight}) + \beta_2 \log(\text{length}) + \beta_3 \log(\text{width}) + \beta_4 \log(\text{cyl}) + u$$

Here, *price* is measured in dollars, *weight* is measured in pounds, length and width are measured in inches, and *cyl* is the number of cylinders in the engine. The results from estimating this equation are below:

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	90.4147706	4	22.6036926	Number of obs =	3297	
Residual	148.927025	3292	.045239072	F( 4, 3292) =	499.65	
				Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.3778	
				Adj R-squared =	0.3770	
Total	239.341795	3296	.072615836	Root MSE =	.21269	

  

logprice	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
logweight	1.091928	.0322143	XX		
loglength	-.173286	.0357808	XX		
logwidth	-1.001126	.0807677	XX		
logcyl	.0428736	.025818	XX		
_cons	5.942897	.2774355	XX		

a.) Using the 95% confidence level, test whether the coefficient on *log(cyl)* is significantly different from zero. Please state your null and alternative hypotheses, and briefly interpret the result. **(10 Points)**

b.) Suppose I claim that the elasticity of *price* within respect to *width* is not equal to -1. What is the probability that I'm wrong? **(10 Points)**

c.) Suppose I claim that  $\beta_1 + \beta_3 = 0$ . Please state a null and alternative hypotheses that can test this claim, and derive an equation that allows me to test the null against the alternative. Show your work!! **(10 Points)**

d.) I decide that I'm adding too many variables in predicting the vehicle price. Instead I estimate

$$\log(\text{price}) = \beta_0 + \beta_2 \log(\text{length}) + \beta_4 \log(\text{cyl}) + u$$

The results from estimating this equation are below:

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	37.8161576	2	18.9080788	Number of obs =	3297	
Residual	201.66028	3294	.061127699	F( 2, 3294) =	309.32	
Total	239.476438	3297	.072546634	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.1579	
				Adj R-squared =	0.1574	
				Root MSE =	.24724	

  

logprice	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
loglength	.3153531	.0362388	XX		
logcyl	.4304159	.0246745	XX		
_cons	7.38903	.1782998	XX		

Is this model preferred to the model in 'a'? Please test this at the 95% level, stating your null and alternative hypotheses. Show your work!!! (10 Points)

e.) I'm having second thoughts about using logs, and instead estimate the following:

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 weight + \beta_2 cyl + \beta_3 ltr + u$$

Where the additional variable *ltr* is the liters displacement by the engine. The results are below:

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	3.2852e+10	3	1.0951e+10	Number of obs =	3298	
Residual	7.4300e+10	3294	22556107.4	F( 3, 3294) =	485.49	
Total	1.0715e+11	3297	32499913.2	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.3066	
				Adj R-squared =	0.3060	
				Root MSE =	4749.3	

  

price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
weight	4.012499	.134814	XX		
cyl	447.3331	117.2983	XX		
ltr	-635.1207	114.4063	XX		
cons	3137.16	573.5268	XX		

Please construct a 90% confidence interval for the coefficient on *weight*. Please interpret this confidence interval. **(10 Points)**

f.) Finally, after generating the new variables, I run the following regression:

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1(weight - 5000) + \beta_2(cyl - 8) + \beta_3(ltr - 5.4) + u$$

The results are below:

Source	SS	df	MS	
Model	3.2852e+10	3	1.0951e+10	Number of obs = 3298
Residual	7.4300e+10	3294	22556107.4	F( 3, 3294) = 485.49
Total	1.0715e+11	3297	32499913.2	Prob > F = 0.0000
				R-squared = 0.3066
				Adj R-squared = 0.3060
				Root MSE = 4749.3

  

price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
weight - 5000	4.012499	.134814	XX		
cyl - 8	447.3331	117.2983	XX		
ltr - 5.4	-635.1207	114.4063	XX		
_cons	23348.67	124.8494	XX		

Please construct a 95% confidence interval for the constant. Please interpret this confidence interval. **(10 Points)**



## Normal Distribution from $-\infty$ to $Z$

$Z$	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	0.5000	0.5040	0.5080	0.5120	0.5160	0.5199	0.5239	0.5279	0.5319	0.5359
0.1	0.5398	0.5438	0.5478	0.5517	0.5557	0.5596	0.5636	0.5675	0.5714	0.5753
0.2	0.5793	0.5832	0.5871	0.5910	0.5948	0.5987	0.6026	0.6064	0.6103	0.6141
0.3	0.6179	0.6217	0.6255	0.6293	0.6331	0.6368	0.6406	0.6443	0.6480	0.6517
0.4	0.6554	0.6591	0.6628	0.6664	0.6700	0.6736	0.6772	0.6808	0.6844	0.6879
0.5	0.6915	0.6950	0.6985	0.7019	0.7054	0.7088	0.7123	0.7157	0.7190	0.7224
0.6	0.7257	0.7291	0.7324	0.7357	0.7389	0.7422	0.7454	0.7486	0.7517	0.7549
0.7	0.7580	0.7611	0.7642	0.7673	0.7704	0.7734	0.7764	0.7794	0.7823	0.7852
0.8	0.7881	0.7910	0.7939	0.7967	0.7995	0.8023	0.8051	0.8078	0.8106	0.8133
0.9	0.8159	0.8186	0.8212	0.8238	0.8264	0.8289	0.8315	0.8340	0.8365	0.8389
1.0	0.8413	0.8438	0.8461	0.8485	0.8508	0.8531	0.8554	0.8577	0.8599	0.8621
1.1	0.8643	0.8665	0.8686	0.8708	0.8729	0.8749	0.8770	0.8790	0.8810	0.8830
1.2	0.8849	0.8869	0.8888	0.8907	0.8925	0.8944	0.8962	0.8980	0.8997	0.9015
1.3	0.9032	0.9049	0.9066	0.9082	0.9099	0.9115	0.9131	0.9147	0.9162	0.9177
1.4	0.9192	0.9207	0.9222	0.9236	0.9251	0.9265	0.9279	0.9292	0.9306	0.9319
1.5	0.9332	0.9345	0.9357	0.9370	0.9382	0.9394	0.9406	0.9418	0.9429	0.9441
1.6	0.9452	0.9463	0.9474	0.9484	0.9495	0.9505	0.9515	0.9525	0.9535	0.9545
1.7	0.9554	0.9564	0.9573	0.9582	0.9591	0.9599	0.9608	0.9616	0.9625	0.9633
1.8	0.9641	0.9649	0.9656	0.9664	0.9671	0.9678	0.9686	0.9693	0.9699	0.9706
1.9	0.9713	0.9719	0.9726	0.9732	0.9738	0.9744	0.9750	0.9756	0.9761	0.9767
2.0	0.9772	0.9778	0.9783	0.9788	0.9793	0.9798	0.9803	0.9808	0.9812	0.9817
2.1	0.9821	0.9826	0.9830	0.9834	0.9838	0.9842	0.9846	0.9850	0.9854	0.9857
2.2	0.9861	0.9864	0.9868	0.9871	0.9875	0.9878	0.9881	0.9884	0.9887	0.9890
2.3	0.9893	0.9896	0.9898	0.9901	0.9904	0.9906	0.9909	0.9911	0.9913	0.9916
2.4	0.9918	0.9920	0.9922	0.9925	0.9927	0.9929	0.9931	0.9932	0.9934	0.9936
2.5	0.9938	0.9940	0.9941	0.9943	0.9945	0.9946	0.9948	0.9949	0.9951	0.9952
2.6	0.9953	0.9955	0.9956	0.9957	0.9959	0.9960	0.9961	0.9962	0.9963	0.9964
2.7	0.9965	0.9966	0.9967	0.9968	0.9969	0.9970	0.9971	0.9972	0.9973	0.9974
2.8	0.9974	0.9975	0.9976	0.9977	0.9977	0.9978	0.9979	0.9979	0.9980	0.9981
2.9	0.9981	0.9982	0.9982	0.9983	0.9984	0.9984	0.9985	0.9985	0.9986	0.9986
3.0	0.9987	0.9987	0.9987	0.9988	0.9988	0.9989	0.9989	0.9989	0.9990	0.9990